On the Benefits of Adding Modes on Owners — a work in progress —

Ownership, Uniqueness and Immutability

Johan Östlund Tobias Wrigstad Dave Clarke Beatrice Åkerblom

Imagine a linked list with students at some university

Imagine a linked list with students at some university

We want the administrator to see who is registered

Imagine a linked list with students at some university

We want the administrator to see who is registered

and we want the TAs to be able to mark the students



Mark students as passed on a course But removing a student is also possible Check what students are registered But cannot fix duplicate registrations



Mark students as passed on a course But removing a student is also possible Check what students are registered But cannot fix duplicate registrations



Mark students as passed on a course But removing a student is also possible Check what students are registered But cannot fix duplicate registrations



Mark students as passed on a course But removing a student is also possible Check what students are registered But cannot fix duplicate registrations

Ad hoc — can be misused [Tschantz & Ernst 05]

Design Goals

- Partial read-only in a non ad-hoc fashion
- Multiple simultaneous views of a single object in terms of modifiability
- One class for all views
- Not possible to circumvent read-only
- Co-existing read-only and immutability
- Fractional permissions-style immutables



```
class List<data outside owner> {
   this:Node<data> first;
}
```

```
class Node<data outside owner> {
   data:Object stuff;
   owner:Node<data> next;
}
```

```
// a and world are owners
a:List<world> 1;
```



class List<data outside owner> {
 this:Node<data> first;
}

class Node<data outside owner> {
 data:Object stuff;
 owner:Node<data> next;
}



class List<data outside owner> {
 this:Node<data> first;

class Node<data outside owner> {
 data:Object stuff;
 owner:Node<data> next;
}



class List<data outside owner> {
 this:Node<data> first;

class Node<data outside owner> {
 data:Object stuff;
 owner:Node<data> next;
}



class List<data outside owner> {
 this:Node<data> first;

class Node<data outside owner> {
 data:Object stuff;
 owner:Node<data> next;



class List<data outside owner> {
 this:Node<data> first;

class Node<data outside owner> {
 data:Object stuff;
 owner:Node<data> next;



Modes in Joe₃

```
class List<data- outside owner> { // owner+ this+
   this:Node<data> first;
}
```

```
class Node<data- outside owner> { // owner+ this+
  data:Object stuff;
  owner:Node<data> next;
}
// Type controls usage
// a- and b+ are owners
a:List<b> 1;
```

Node

Node





List is owned by a Stuff is owned by b





List is owned by a Stuff is owned by b



Good: Context-based read-only

Immutability & the * Mode

<a* inside world, b* outside a>
 int averageMark(a:List students) {
 ...

- Immutable can trivially be achieved by read-only plus unique — but the information is lost
- The *-mode captures immutability in Joe3
- Only unique pointers can achieve *-dom
- Nice staged initialisation

}

~Fractional Permissions

```
unique:List<d> 1;
borrow 1 as x*:temp in {
    // temp : x:List<d> for duration of block
    ...
}
```

- Borrowing allows unique variables to be treated as immutable for the duration of a scope
 - Temporarily nullifies the source variable
 - Automagic confinement through temporary owner
- Essentially Boyland's [03] Fractional Permissions

Joe₃'s Static Semantics

- Trivial extension to Joline's static semantics
- Modes added to owners in type environment
- Trivial changes to four rules to check that the modes on a receiver is respected by method calls, field updates and borrowing
- Revoke clause added to enable finer granularity

```
void method() revoke this {...}
void method(x:Object) revoke x {...}
```

Modes & Inheritance (not in the paper)

- Subclassing must preserve immutable modes
- Subclassing to narrow permissions is straight-forward
- Subclassing to widen permissions is possible
 - Overriding methods must always obey the most restrictive modes of any super class
 - Modification only possible in new, non-overriding methods

Future Work

- Prove soundness by extending Joline's proofs
- Properly formulate the guarantees of our constructs
- Explore Universes-style owner-as-modifier
- Modes on types, not just owner declarations

```
class Ex {
  owner+:Object rep;
  owner-:Object getRep() { return rep; }
  void setRep(owner+:Object o) { rep = o; }
}
```

Thank You! Questions?